American Educational Research Association

Welcome: Lin Lin

Division K-Teaching and Teacher Education -> Section 7: Preservice Teacher Education -> Paper -> Power Moves: Pre-service

Teachers' Resistances and Concessions to Diverse Perspectives

Review Menu | Main Menu | Logout | Help |
Download Paper/Proposal

Review FormPower Moves: Pre-service Teachers' Resistances and Concessions to Diverse

Perspectives (Paper)

Due Date: 2008-09-01

Assigned Date: 2008-08-12

Please completely fill out the form below. The required criteria to complete are indicated with an asterisk *. WARNING: Your session will timeout after 30 minutes of inactivity. This means if you remain on this page for 30 minutes your session will expire. If you are interrupted while working on your review, or cannot not finish your review in one sitting you may save your work and return to finish your review at a later time by checking the "Save Work and Finish Later" box at the bottom of the form. Then click the "Accept Continue" button. After you complete your review, check the "Submit Completed Review" box and then click the "Accept Continue" button.

You may not edit your review after you mark it as completed.

Paper Abstract

This paper examines the power relationships between pre-service teachers and texts that deal with issues of diversity in the classroom. Pre-service teachers from various disciplines at this conservative university are being prepared to teach in an immigrant rich area of the southwestern part of the United States. Foucault's notion of power is used to illustrate the resistances and concessions that occur with the students as they read educational texts that challenge their world-views.

| Review Form | |
|---|--|
| Criteria | Scale |
| *Significance of Problem/Topic/ Issues | Scale Value Name Min: 4 1: Insignificant/ Unclear Max: 5: Critically Significant/ Clear |
| *Theoretical Framework | Scale Value Name Min: 4 1: Not Articulated/ Under Developed Max: 5: Well Articulated/ Well Developed |
| *Mode of Inquiry | Scale Value Name Min: 2 1: Not Described/ Explained Max: 5: Well Described/ Explained |
| *Use of Supporting Evidence | Scale Value Name Min: 1: Weak Use of Supporting Evidence Max: 5: Strong Use of Supporting Evidence |
| *Depth of Analysis and Significance of Findings | Scale Value Name Min: 3 1: Insignificant Analysis/ Findings Max: 5: Significant Analysis/ Findings |
| *Quality of Writing/Organization | Scale Value Name Min: 4 1: Unclear/Unorganized Max: 5: Clear/ Well Organized |
| *Contribution to Education/ Educational Research | Scale Value Name Min: 3 1: Routine Max: 5: Innovative/ Original |
| *Connection to Program Theme and/ or Division K Program Theme: Disciplined Inquiry: Education Research in the Circle of Knowledge | Scale Value Name Min: 3 1: Minimal Connection Max: 5: Significant Connection |
| Division K: Teachers and Teacher Education | |
| *Focus on Diversity | Scale Value Name Min: 1: No Attention Paid to Issues of Diversity Max: 5: Strong Attention Paid to Issues of Diversity |
| *Use of Research/ Literature | Scale Value Name Min: Max: 1: Insufficient/ Irrelevant St. Effective/ Supportive |
| Teacher Participation This is not required criteria. If the project indicates collaboration with teachers, rate | Scale Value Name Min: 1: Low Teacher Involvement |

| this criterion. | Max: | 1 5: High Te | acher Involvement |
|---|------|--------------|-------------------|
| * Comments to the Program Chair | | | |
| This field is mandatory you must comment. | | | |

This paper has significance to teacher education programs and is closely connected to the program theme. The theoretical perspective is clearly articulated. However, the proposal didn't use any evidence for its preliminary analysis. Nor did it use any other research/literature on a similar topic.

* Comments to the Author/Submitter

This field is mandatory you must comment.

This paper could have included evidence of your students' reactions (written responses or reflections to those wonderfully selected texts) to support your analysis and argument. The topic has significance to teacher education programs and is closely connected to the program theme. The theoretical perspective is clearly articulated. The paper could have reviewed other research/literature on a similar topic.

| *P | ωvi | ewei | ·R | 600 | m | me | nd | atio | 'n |
|----|-----|------|----|-----|---------|----|----|------|---|
| | CVI | CVVC | | CLU | , , , , | | Hu | auv | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |

- Accept
- Reject
- **Save Work and Finish Later**
- Submit Completed Review

©2008 All Academic, Inc.